CALFED Concrete Price List (There ain't no such thing as a free lunch!)

Projects which could meet CALFED's objective to create 3 million acre feet (maf) of surface water storage upstream of the delta in the Sacramento Valley (all cost figures are in the billions of dollars):

PROJECT	ESTIMATE	COST RANGE
Shasta Dam Enlargement 6.75 maf alternative	<b>\$2.</b> 7	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$2.5-3.2
14.3 maf alternative	\$4.8	\$4.3-5.5
Cottonwood Creek Complex	•	
(Dutch Gulch/Tehama dams)	\$1.168	\$1.051-1.343
Red Bank Project		•
(Dippingvat/Schoenfield dams)	\$.215	\$.193247
Thomes-Newville <sup>1</sup>		•
1.84 maf alternative	\$1.514	\$1.3-1.75
3.08 maf alternative	\$1.7	\$1.5-1.95
J. J	4	,
Sites-Colusa <sup>2</sup>		
Small Sites alternative	<b>\$.</b> 501	<b>\$.451577</b>
Large Sites alternative	\$.712	<b>\$.641819</b>
Sites-Colusa alternative	<b>\$1.485</b>	\$1.336-1.708
Red Bluff Diversion Enlargement		
Fish ladder alternative	\$.063	\$.057073
Pumping plant alternative	<b>\$.145</b>	<b>\$.131168</b>
Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement		•
Enlarged canal alternative	\$.238	\$.214274
Parallel canal alternative	<b>\$.364</b>	\$.518662 <sup>3</sup>
Chico Landing Intertie	\$.409	\$.368471
Berryessa Enlargement <sup>4</sup>		•
6 maf alternative	\$1.788	\$1.051-1.343
13.3 maf alternative	\$2.621	\$2.359-3.014
all that is the state in the second state in the transfer of the second state in the s	4x	4 martes as a 10 to 10 mm
Berryessa Intertie	\$.649	\$.584746

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Requires Red Bluff Diversion/Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement to provide water from the Sacramento River for offstream storage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Requires Red Bluff Diversion/Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement or Chico Landing Intertie to provide water from the Sacramento River for offstream storage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The range of cost for the Parallel Canai alternative is higher than the estimated cost — a probable typo in the CALFED technical report.

Requires the Berryessa Intertie or Tehama-Colusa Canal extension to provide water from the Sacramento River for offstream storage.

Tehama-Colusa Canal Extension		
Enlarging Reaches 6-8	<b>\$.147</b>	\$.132169
New Canal 3,500 cfs	\$.222	\$.200255
New Canal - Yolo County	\$.216	<b>\$.194248</b>
Enlarge Existing Canal	<b>\$.363</b>	\$.326417
New Parallel Canal	\$.438	\$.394503

Projects which could meet CALFED's objective to create up to 2 million acre feet (maf) of "off aqueduct" storage and 500,000 acre feet of onstream surface storage in the San Joaquin Valley (all cost figures are in the billions of dollars):

PROJECT	ESTIMATE	COST RANGE
Mid-Valley Canal	\$.903	\$.812-1.03
Los Banos Grandes 1.73 maf alternative 2.03 maf alternative	\$.1124 \$1.323	\$1.012-1.293 \$1.191-1.521
Los Vaqueros Enlargement	\$1.8	\$1.6-2.1
Montgomery	\$.253	\$.227290
Orestimba Small alternative Large alternative	\$1.087 \$2.641	\$.978-1.359 \$2.377-3.302
San Luis Enlargement	\$.799·	\$.719919
Friant/Millerton Enlargement	\$1.198	\$1.078-1.378

Projects which could meet CALFED's objective to create up to 200,000 acre feet (maf) of "in delta" water storage (all cost figures are in the <u>billions of dollars</u>):

PROJECT	ESTIMATE	COST RANGE
Chain of Lakes Project Siphon Only alternative Siphon & Pump alternative	\$1.8 \$2.8	\$2.6-3.7 <sup>5</sup> \$2.5-3.59
In Delta Storage Project Alternative A Alternative B	\$.982 \$.800	\$.884-1.228 \$.720-1.0

<sup>5</sup> Estimate does not fit the range — another probable CALFED typo.

CALFED's Delta Conveyance Facilities (aka Peripheral Canal) (all cost figures are in the billions of dollars):

PROJECT	ESTIMATE	COST RANGE
Isolated Delta Conveyance		
5,000 cfs	\$.846	\$.762973
10,000 cfs	\$1.079	\$.971-1.241
15,000 cfs	\$1.279	\$2.243-3.115
Multiple Intakes Option	\$2.492	\$2.243-3.115
Improved Through Delta		
Mood Intake Alternative	\$1.435	\$1.292-1.794
Tyler Island Alternative	\$.842	\$.758-1.052
Western Delta Isolated Conveyance	\$2.338	\$2.104-2.63

Source: CALFED Storage and Conveyance Components, Facility Descriptions and Cost Estimates, Volumes 1-3, October 1997.

CALFED Concrete Price List (There ain't no such thing as a free lunch!)

Projects which could meet CALFED's objective to create 3 million acre feet (maf) of surface water storage upstream of the delta in the Sacramento Valley (all cost figures are in the billions of dollars):

PROJECT	ESTIMATE	COST RANGE
Shasta Dam Enlargement 6.75 maf alternative 14.3 maf alternative	\$2.7 \$4.8	\$2.5-3.2 \$4.3-5.5
Cottonwood Creek Complex (Dutch Gulch/Tehama dams)	\$1.168	\$1.051-1.343
Red Bank Project (Dippingvat/Schoenfield dams)	\$.215	\$.193247
Thomes-Newville <sup>1</sup> 1.84 maf alternative 3.08 maf alternative	\$1.514 \$1.7	\$1.3-1.75 \$1.5-1.95
Sites-Colusa <sup>2</sup> Small Sites alternative Large Sites alternative Sites-Colusa alternative	\$.501 \$.712 \$1.485	\$.451577 \$.641819 \$1.336-1.708
Red Bluff Diversion Enlargement Fish ladder alternative Pumping plant alternative Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement Enlarged canal alternative Parallel canal alternative	\$.063 \$.145 \$.238 \$.364	\$.057073 \$.131168 \$.214274 \$.518662 <sup>3</sup>
Chico Landing Intertie	\$.409	\$.368471
Berryessa Enlargement <sup>4</sup> 6 maf alternative 13.3 maf alternative	\$1.788 \$2.621	\$1.051-1.343 \$2.359-3.014
Berryessa Intertie	\$.649	\$.584746

<sup>2</sup> Requires Red Bluff Diversion/Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement or Chico Landing Intertie to provide water from the Sacramento River for offstream storage.

The range of cost for the Parallel Canal alternative is higher than the estimated cost — a probable typo in the CALFED technical report.

Requires the Recovery Intention of The Parallel Canal alternative is higher than the estimated cost — a probable typo in the CALFED technical report.

Requires the Berryessa Intertie or Tehama-Colusa Canal extension to provide water from the Sacramento River for offstream storage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Requires Red Bluff Diversion/Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement to provide water from the Sacramento River for offstream storage.

Tehama-Colusa Canal Extension		
Enlarging Reaches 6-8	<b>\$.147</b>	\$.132169
New Canal 3,500 cfs	\$.222	\$.200255
New Canal - Yolo County	\$.216	<b>\$.194248</b>
Enlarge Existing Canal	<b>\$.363</b>	\$.326417
New Parallel Canal	\$.438	\$.394503

Projects which could meet CALFED's objective to create up to 2 million acre feet (maf) of "off aqueduct" storage and 500,000 acre feet of onstream surface storage in the San Joaquin Valley (all cost figures are in the billions of dollars):

PROJECT	ESTIMATE	COST RANGE
Mid-Valley Canal	\$.903	\$.812-1.03
Los Banos Grandes 1.73 maf alternative 2.03 maf alternative	\$.1124 \$1.323	\$1.012-1.293 \$1.191-1.521
Los Vaqueros Enlargement	\$1.8	\$1.6-2.1
Montgomery	\$.253	\$.227290
Orestimba Small alternative Large alternative	\$1.087 \$2.641	\$.978-1.359 \$2.377-3.302
San Luis Enlargement	\$.799 <sup>-</sup>	\$.719919
Friant/Millerton Enlargement	\$1.198	\$1.078-1.378

Projects which could meet CALFED's objective to create up to 200,000 acre feet (maf) of "in delta" water storage (all cost figures are in the billions of dollars):

PROJECT	ESTIMATE	COST RANGE
Chain of Lakes Project Siphon Only alternative Siphon & Pump alternative	\$1.8 \$2.8	\$2.6-3.7 <sup>5</sup> \$2.5-3.59
In Delta Storage Project Alternative A Alternative B	\$.982 \$.800	\$.884-1.228 \$.720-1.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Estimate does not fit the range — another probable CALFED typo.

CALFED's Delta Conveyance Facilities (aka Peripheral Canal) (all cost figures are in the <u>billions of dollars</u>):

PROJECT	ESTIMATE	COST RANGE
Isolated Delta Conveyance		•
5,000 cfs	\$.846	\$.762973
10,000 cfs	\$1.079	\$.971-1.241
15,000 cfs	\$1.279	\$2.243-3.115
Multiple Intakes Option	\$2.492	\$2.243-3.115
Improved Through Delta		
Hood Intake Alternative	\$1.435	\$1.292-1.794
Tyler Island Alternative	\$.842	\$.758-1.052
Western Delta Isolated Conveyance	\$2.338	\$2.104-2.63

Source: CALFED Storage and Conveyance Components, Facility Descriptions and Cost Estimates, Volumes 1-3, October 1997.